

Developing a Repeating Model Using the Structured Spreadsheet Modelling and Implementation Methodology

Paul Mireault
Founder, SSMI International
Honorary Professor, HEC Montréal
Paul.Mireault@SSMI.International

ABSTRACT

*Spreadsheets often have variables and formulas that are similar, differing only by the fact that they refer to different instances of an entity. For example, the calculation of the sales revenues of the South and East regions are $Revenues\ South = Price * Quantity\ Sold\ South$ and $Revenues\ East = Price * Quantity\ Sold\ East$. In this paper, we present a conceptual modelling approach that takes advantage of these similarities and leads the spreadsheet developer to the formula $Revenues = Price * Quantity$. We then present simple but strict rules to implement the spreadsheet.*

1 INTRODUCTION

Errors in spreadsheets have caused financial losses for many companies and organizations, as illustrated by the EuSpRIG Horror Stories [EuSpRIG, 2015] web page. Panko [Panko, 2008] cites a study reporting that 95% of spreadsheets have errors.

Many authors have identified the following spreadsheet characteristics that can cause errors:

- **Far references.** A formula that references a cell that is not immediately visible and understood is harder to understand [Raffensperger, 2003].
- **Transitive references.** Formulas that reference a reference of a variable are candidates to maintenance problems. When we introduce a nuance and create a new variable, formulas may refer to one or the other nuance of the variable.

In [Mireault, 2015], we introduced the Structured Spreadsheet Modelling and Implementation methodology and illustrated it with a simple problem. The methodology is based on well-established concepts of Computer Science, Software Engineering and Information Systems. The basic idea of the methodology is to develop spreadsheets in two steps, the conceptual model first and the implementation second.

One of the important conception rules is to keep formulas as simple as they can be, avoiding having more than one mathematical operator or function in the definition of a variable. For example, the formula $Total\ Cost = Fixed\ Cost + Unit\ Cost * Quantity$ uses two different mathematical operators, addition and multiplication, and should be replaced with $Variable\ Cost = Unit\ Cost * Quantity$ and $Total\ Cost = Fixed\ Cost + Variable\ Cost$. Such simpler formulas would have a low complexity score according to [Hermans, et al., 2012].

[Mireault, et al., 2015] showed how a model developed with the SSMI methodology can be easily expanded to transform parameters that were entered by user into variables that are calculated from other inputs.

In this paper, we present an extension to the SSMI methodology that is used to model cases where a set of formulas and variables is repeated for different instances of an entity. For example, the calculation of the total cost is similar for all our regions, South, East and North.

2 MODELLING A REPEATING SUB-MODEL

We are sometimes faced with the situation where sets of variables have formulas that are similar. In such situations, we are also tempted to name the variables we create with the same prefix and differentiate them with a different suffix. For example, we might have variables named `Profit Region A`, `Profit Region B` and `Profit Region C`. If we use the straightforward modeling technique presented in [Mireault, 2015], we will end up with a model that is unwieldy and difficult to modify. But there is a way of keeping the model simple: it consists in identifying variables and formulas that are similar and grouping them in what we will call a *repeating sub-model*.

We will first illustrate the development of a model without the use of a repeating sub-model to illustrate its complexity. The reader should keep in mind that this is not the proper modeling technique. We will then illustrate the proper use of the repeating sub-model and show how it simplifies the Formula Diagram and the Formula List.

Let's consider a small example with Marco's Widgets. Marco sells his widgets in three different regions: South, East and North. He wants a spreadsheet that will show him the profit per region as well as the total profit. To allocate the demand per region, he tells you that the demand has traditionally been 48%, 23% and 29% respectively for the South, East and North regions. Marco uses a Unit Cost composed of a Manufacturing Cost and a Delivery Cost. The Manufacturing Cost does not depend on the region and is equal to 120\$. The Delivery Cost is equal to 50\$, 80\$ and 60\$ respectively for the South, East and North regions. To calculate each region's profit, the Fixed Cost will be allocated to each region with the same distribution as the demand. We now have all the information needed to design the model.

2.1 Building the model for the South region

Figure 1 presents the Formula Diagram for the South region only. The corresponding Formula List is shown in

Table 1.

Now, if we continue on with the East region, we will notice that the variables and the formulas are similar. The only difference is that the variables will use the `East` suffix instead of `South`.

It will also be the same with the North-region and the `North` suffix. We would end up with a model that looks like Figure 2.




Figure 1 - Formula Diagram for the South region

Variable	Description	Type	Definition
Price	Average price of widgets	Input	
Profit South	Profit of the South region	Output	= Revenue South - Total Cost South
DemParA	First Demand function parameter	Parameter	367,000
DemParB	Second Demand function parameter	Parameter	1.0009
Fixed Cost	Fixed cost of manufacturing the widgets	Parameter	2,500,000\$
Mfg Cost	Cost of manufacturing one widget	Parameter	120\$
Dist South	Proportion of the Demand sold in the South region	Parameter	49%
Delivery Cost South	Cost of delivery of widgets in the South region	Parameter	50\$
Demand	Demand of widgets, formula given by the market research specialist	Calculated	= DemParA * DemParB^-Price
Demand South	Portion of the Demand sold in the South region	Calculated	= Demand * Dist South
Total Cost South	Total Cost of selling widgets in the South region	Calculated	= Fixed Cost South + Variable Cost South
Fixed Cost South	Portion of the Fixed cost allocated to the South region	Calculated	= Fixed Cost * Dist South
Variable Cost South	Variable Cost of the widgets sold in the South region	Calculated	= Demand South * Unit Cost South
Unit Cost South	Unit cost of one widget in the South region	Calculated	= Mfg Cost + Delivery Cost South
Revenue South	Revenue of the South region	Calculated	= Demand South * Price

Table 1 - Formula List of the South region model




Figure 2 - Formula Diagram for three regions

2.2 Creating a repeating sub-model

The model of Figure 2 has obvious shortcomings. The most important one is that it does not scale well. Imagine how it would look like if we had to expand it to cover more regions, like provinces or states in many countries. Canada has 10 provinces, India has 28 or more states, the USA has 50 states, France has 100 departments; expanding the model to cover the divisions of many countries is practically infeasible. Another important shortcoming is that any modification, like adding variables, has to be replicated many times. This increases the risk of introducing errors, which is something we want to avoid.

The key to the repeating sub-model lies in the variables that have a suffix. Instead of having one *copy* of a variable for each region, we will use *one* variable representing any region. The variables `Delivery Cost South`, `Delivery Cost East` and `DeliveryCostNorth` will be replaced by `Delivery Cost`. Thus, `Delivery Cost` is now a variable with multiple values: it is the set of 3 values {50, 80, 60}.

When the same variable name would appear more than once, we need to use an adjective to identify its role. For instance, we have two variables that represent the

demand: the variable `Demand` that represents a single value and the variable `Demand` that represents multiple values will be renamed `Total Demand` and `Regional Demand` respectively.

We will apply the same treatment to the other variables. The repeating variables are `Distribution`, `Regional Demand`, `Delivery Cost`, `Unit Cost`, `Revenue`, `VariableCost`, `Regional Fixed Cost`, `Total Cost` and `Profit`.

We represent the *repeating sub-model* with a box with a dash border in the Formula Diagram. We write the name of the repeating entity in the top right corner of the box. Now, we place all the variables that have multiple values, depending on the `Region`, inside the sub-model box and all the other variables outside the box. This is illustrated in Figure 3.




Figure 3 - Formula Diagram with the region sub-model

All the variables outside the box represent single values, and all the variables inside the box represent multiple values.

This method of representing a *repeating sub-model* takes care of the two major shortcomings we mentioned earlier. First, the model is now scalable as it does not get more complicated with an increase in the number of regions. In fact, the model does not change at all when we increase the number of regions. Naturally, the spreadsheet implementation will be bigger with more regions, but we will show a straightforward way of expanding the spreadsheet implementation to accommodate more regions.

Second, modifying the model is simplified by the fact that parts of the model are not repeated many times. Any change needs only to be made once, no matter how many regions there are.

We calculate the `Total Profit` from the `Profit` of each region. Since it is inside the repeating sub-model box, the variable `Profit` represents a set of values, and since it is outside the box, the variable `Total Profit` represents a single value. Thus, the function that calculates `Total Profit` must be a function that takes a set of values and returns a single value. There are a few such functions, called **aggregate functions**, and you are already familiar with some them: `SUM`,

AVERAGE, MINIMUM, MAXIMUM, VARIANCE, and STANDARD DEVIATION. There are others that are more specialized, like NPV (Net Present Value) and IRR (Internal Rate of Return) often used in Finance and Accounting models.

In our case, we will use the formula $\text{Total Profit} = \text{SUM}(\text{Profit})$. We add the following entry in our Table of Formulas:

Variable	Description	Type	Definition
Price	Average price of widgets	Input	
Profit	Profit of each region	Output, repeating	= Revenue - Total Cost
DemParA	First Demand function parameter	Parameter	367,000
DemParB	Second Demand function parameter	Parameter	1.0009
Fixed Cost	Fixed cost of manufacturing the widgets	Parameter	2,500,000\$
Mfg Cost	Cost of manufacturing one widget	Parameter	120\$
Distribution	Proportion of the Demand sold in each region	Parameter, repeating	48%, 23%, 29%
Delivery Cost	Cost of delivery of widgets in each region	Parameter, repeating	50\$, 80\$, 60\$
Total Demand	Demand of widgets, formula given by the market research specialist	Calculated	= DemParA * DemParB^Price
Regional Demand	Portion of the Demand sold in each region	Calculated, repeating	= Total Demand * Distribution
Total Cost	Total Cost of selling widgets in each region	Calculated, repeating	= Regional Fixed Cost + Variable Cost
Regional Fixed Cost	Portion of the Fixed cost allocated to each region	Calculated, repeating	= Fixed Cost * Distribution
Variable Cost	Variable Cost of the widgets sold in each region	Calculated, repeating	= Regional Demand * Unit Cost
Unit Cost	Unit cost of one widget in each region	Calculated, repeating	= Mfg Cost + Delivery Cost
Revenue	Revenue of each region	Calculated, repeating	= Regional Demand * Price
Total Profit	Total profit of all regions	Output	= SUM(Profit)

Table 2 - Formula List with the repeating sub-model

3 THE REPEATING SUB-MODEL IMPLEMENTATION

Like the implementation of the simple model shown in [Mireault, 2015], the implementation of the repeating sub-model follows precise rules. These rules have been empirically designed to reduce the possibility of making errors during the initial implementation of the spreadsheet as well as during its maintenance. All definition formulas are identified with a bold font and a proper number format (currency or percentage) is used where applicable.

We will need five worksheets. As described in [Mireault, 2015], we have the Interface sheet that will be used by the spreadsheet's user. We also need the

Parameters and the Model sheets for all the variables and constants that are **outside** the repeating sub-model box. We will also use two sheets for the repeating parameters and the repeating variables defined **inside** the repeating sub-model box. We will name them Parameters-Regions and Regions.

The interface sheet

In the Interface sheet (Figure 4), we put the input variables with their reasonable starting value. We then *name* the cells containing the input variables. Finally, we prepare the area where we will put the references to the output variables. In the case where some output variables are from the repeating sub-model, we will also reference the repeating entity.

We will come back to complete Output Variables section of the Interface sheet after we have finished with the model.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	Interface							
2								
3	Input Variables							
4	Price	375 \$						
5								
6								
7	Output Variables							
8	Region							
9	Profit							
10	Total Profit							
11								

Figure 4 - Step 1: Naming the sheets and setting up the Interface

Step 2: The parameters sheets

We define the single value parameters by putting the labels in column A and the values in column B. As illustrated in Figure 5, we name the single value parameters by selecting the labels and the values and clicking on the *Create from Selection* button of the *Formulas* ribbon.

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Parameters					
2						
3	DemParA	376000				
4	DemParB	1,009				
5	Mfg Cost	120 \$				
6	Total Fixed Cost	2 500 000 \$				
7						
8						
9						
10						

Figure 5 - Step 2: Creating names for single value parameters

The repeating entity is defined in the `Parameters-Regions` sheet with the column labels we will use to identify its instances. In column A, we first write the name of the repeating entity, `Region` in our case, and the names of the parameters appearing inside the repeating sub-model box. Then, starting in Column B, we write the values of the entity and of the parameters, as illustrated in Figure 6.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Parameters-Regions						
2							
3							
4	Region	South	East	North			
5	Delivery Cost	50 \$	80 \$	60 \$			
6	Distribution	48%	23%	29%			
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							

Figure 6- Step 2: Parameters of the repeating entity

Finally, we name the multiple value parameters by first selecting the **entire rows** consisting of the labels all the values and all the blank cells following them, as shown in Figure 7. Naming the whole row like this will allow us to easily add new regions.




Figure 7- Step 2: Naming the repeating entity parameters

Step 3: The Model sheet

In the `Model` sheet, we need to put the definition formulas of all the calculated variables that are outside of the repeating sub-model box. In our case, there are only two variables: `TotalDemand` and `TotalProfit`.

Figure 8 shows the block structure with the defining variables above the line and the defined variable below. It also shows that cell B2 has been named `Total Demand`. Since `Total Profit` is calculated using a variable defined in the repeating sub-model, we will defer defining it until we have completed the repeating sub-model.

Total_Demand				=B3*B4^-B5			
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Model						
2							
3	DemParA	376000					
4	DemParB	1.009					
5	Price	375 \$					
6	Total Demand	13,062					
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							

Interface | **Model** | Regions | Parameters | Parameters-Regio

Figure 8 - Step 3: The Model sheet

Step 4: The repeating sub-model Sheet

Implementing a repeating sub-model is done in two phases. The first phase consists of implementing the model **for only one instance of the repeating entity** by following the usual block structure and variable naming operations. The second phase consists of **one** copy operation where the model we implemented for the first instance of the entity is copied for all the other instances.

We start by identifying the region corresponding to the first column of the model. As shown in Figure 9, we put the label `Region` in cell A3 and the formula `=Region` in B3. It is important to start the model in the same column we used in the Parameters sheet; otherwise the *names* will not work properly.

B3				=Region		
	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Repeated sub-model					
2						
3	Region	South				
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						

Interface | Model | **Regions** | Parameters | (+)

Figure 9-Step 4: Setting up the repeating entity

We then develop the model with the block structure described in [Mireault, 2015] with the exception that we use the label of column A to name the whole row instead of the cell on its right as shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10- Step 4: Naming the whole row of a variable's definition

	A	B	C
1	Repeated sub-model		
2			
3	Region	South	
4			
5	Total Demand	13,062	
6	Distribution	48%	
7	Regional Demand	6,270	
8			
9	Regional Demand	6,270	
10	Price	375 \$	
11	Revenue	2,351,110 \$	
12			
13	Mfg Cost	120 \$	
14	Delivery Cost	50 \$	
15	Unit Cost	170 \$	
16			
17	Regional Demand	6,270	
18	Unit Cost	170 \$	
19	Variable Cost	1,065,837 \$	
20			
21	Total Fixed Cost	2,500,000 \$	
22	Distribution	48%	
23	Regional Fixed Cost	1,200,000 \$	
24			
25	Regional Fixed Cost	1,200,000 \$	
26	Variable Cost	1,065,837 \$	
27	Total Cost	2,265,837 \$	
28			
29	Revenue	2,351,110 \$	
30	Total Cost	-2,265,837 \$	
31	Profit	85,274 \$	
32			

Figure 11 - Step 4: The completed model for one region

	A	B
1	Repeated sub-model	
2		
3	Region	=Region
4		
5	Total Demand	=Total_Demand
6	Distribution	=Distribution
7	Regional Demand	=B5*B6
8		
9	Regional Demand	=Regional_Demand
10	Price	=Price
11	Revenue	=B9*B10
12		
13	Mfg Cost	=Mfg_Cost
14	Delivery Cost	=Delivery_Cost
15	Unit Cost	=SUM(B13:B14)
16		
17	Regional Demand	=Regional_Demand
18	Unit Cost	=Unit_Cost
19	Variable Cost	=B17*B18
20		
21	Total Fixed Cost	=Total_Fixed_Cost
22	Distribution	=Distribution
23	Regional Fixed Cost	=B21*B22
24		
25	Regional Fixed Cost	=Regional_Fixed_Cost
26	Variable Cost	=Variable_Cost
27	Total Cost	=SUM(B25:B26)
28		
29	Revenue	=Revenue
30	Total Cost	=Total_Cost
31	Profit	=SUM(B29:B30)
32		

Figure 12 - Step 4: The Formula View of the completed model

Figure 11 shows the block structure for all the variables of the repeating sub-model. We can see in its corresponding Formula View (Figure 12) the structure of each *definition* block: the top part contains named references to the variables used in the calculation, and the bottom part is the actual definition formula. By using only the cells directly above, the definition formula is easy to audit.

Once the model for one region is completed we will copy it to the right for the other regions. We start by selecting column B by clicking on its column letter as illustrated in Figure 13. We then drag the *copy handle* two columns to the right. The final result is shown in Figure 14.

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Repeated sub-mode				
2					
3	Region	South			
4					
5	Total Demand	13,062			
6	Distribution	48%			
7	Regional Demand	6,270			
8					
9	Regional Demand	6,270			
10	Price	375 \$			
11	Revenue	2,351,110 \$			
12					
13	Mfg Cost	120 \$			
14	Delivery Cost	50 \$			
15	Unit Cost	170 \$			
16					
17	Regional Demand	6,270			
18	Unit Cost	170 \$			
19	Variable Cost	1,065,837 \$			
20					
21	Total Fixed Cost	2,500,000 \$			
22	Distribution	48%			
23	Regional Fixed Cost	1,200,000 \$			
24					
25	Regional Fixed Cost	1,200,000 \$			
26	Variable Cost	1,065,837 \$			
27	Total Cost	2,265,837 \$			
28					
29	Revenue	2,351,110 \$			
30	Total Cost	-2,265,837 \$			
31	Profit	85,274 \$			
32					

Figure 13- Step 4: Selecting the entire model of one region

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Repeated sub-mode				
2					
3	Region	South	East	North	
4					
5	Total Demand	13,062	13,062	13,062	
6	Distribution	48%	23%	29%	
7	Regional Demand	6,270	3,004	3,788	
8					
9	Regional Demand	6,270	3,004	3,788	
10	Price	375 \$	375 \$	375 \$	
11	Revenue	2,351,110 \$	1,126,574 \$	1,420,462 \$	
12					
13	Mfg Cost	120 \$	120 \$	120 \$	
14	Delivery Cost	50 \$	80 \$	60 \$	
15	Unit Cost	170 \$	200 \$	180 \$	
16					
17	Regional Demand	6,270	3,004	3,788	
18	Unit Cost	170 \$	200 \$	180 \$	
19	Variable Cost	1,065,837 \$	600,839 \$	681,822 \$	
20					
21	Total Fixed Cost	2,500,000 \$	2,500,000 \$	2,500,000 \$	
22	Distribution	48%	23%	29%	
23	Regional Fixed Cost	1,200,000 \$	575,000 \$	725,000 \$	
24					
25	Regional Fixed Cost	1,200,000 \$	575,000 \$	725,000 \$	
26	Variable Cost	1,065,837 \$	600,839 \$	681,822 \$	
27	Total Cost	2,265,837 \$	1,175,839 \$	1,406,822 \$	
28					
29	Revenue	2,351,110 \$	1,126,574 \$	1,420,462 \$	
30	Total Cost	-2,265,837 \$	-1,175,839 \$	-1,406,822 \$	
31	Profit	85,274 \$	-49,266 \$	13,640 \$	
32					

Figure 14 - Step 4: Copying the full model

Finally, we return to the Model sheet to implement the definition of Total Profit. Since it uses a repeating variable in its calculation we will implement it with a variation of the block structure.

First, we set up the usual block structure, with the references in the top part, as shown in Figure 15. We also include references to the repeating entity as a visual reference.




Figure 15 - Bloc structure of a non-repeating variable calculated from a repeating variable




Figure 16- Definition formula of a non-repeating variable calculated from a repeating variable

Next, we write the formula in cell B10 as the SUM of the whole row above it. As shown in Figure 16, we name the single cell B10, not the whole row 10, but we put the top border on the whole row as a visual indication that cell B10 uses the whole row above it.

Step 5: Finishing the Interface sheet

The final step consists of putting the references to the output variables in the Interface sheet. In column B we write the reference formulas for the entity, Region, and the output variables, Profit, as illustrated in Figure 17.




Figure 17 – Step 5: Finishing the Interface sheet

4 CONCLUSION

We have shown how to model a problem involving a repeating entity in a way that creates a simple and elegant sub-model.

By separating the creative task of *building the conceptual model* from the mechanical task of *implementing the physical model*, we expect to reduce *logical errors* due to the constant interruptions of the brain’s creative activities.

By reducing and by tightly constraining the *copy* operations, we expect to reduce the number of errors that are due to manipulations.

Further research can demonstrate whether the use of the Structured Modelling and Implement methodology with repeating sub-model does indeed affect the probability of making errors in a spreadsheet.

REFERENCES

- EuSpRIG EuSpRIG Horror Stories (2015) - <http://www.eusprig.org/horror-stories.htm> Accessed - 03-30-2015
- Hermans, F., Pinzger, M., & van Deursen, A. (2012). Measuring spreadsheet formula understandability. Proc. EuSpRIG 2012, arXiv preprint arXiv:1209.3517.
- Mireault, P., & Gresham, L. (2015). Governance and Structured Spreadsheets: Your Spreadsheets Don't Need To Be Black Boxes. In International Conference on Accounting and Finance (AT). Proceedings (p. 47). Global Science and Technology Forum.
- Mireault, P. (2015). Structured Spreadsheet Modeling and Implementation. Proc. SEMS 15, Florence, arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.03122.
- Panko, R. R., & Halverson Jr, R. P. (1996). Spreadsheets on trial: A survey of research on spreadsheet risks. In System Sciences, 1996., Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Hawaii International Conference, (Vol. 2, pp. 326-335). IEEE.
- Panko, R. R. (1998). What we know about spreadsheet errors. Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (JOEUC), 10(2), 15-21.
- Raffensperger, J. F. (2003). New guidelines for spreadsheets. International Journal of Business and Economics. - 2003. - 2 : Vol. 2. - pp. 141-154, arXiv preprint arXiv:0807.3186.